AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity)  MAR 0 7 2006 Docker  Applicant(s): Yashchin et al.							cket No. 920010540	TA	
Application No. 10/040,474	Filing Date 01/09/2002	Examiner A. Baturay	Cı	Customer 30743		Person Art Unit 2155		Confirmation No. 2599	
Invention: SMART MESSENGER									
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:  Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.									
The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.  CLAIMS AS AMENDED									
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST # PREV. PAID FOR	NUMBER I		RATE			ADDITIONAL FEE	
TOTAL CLAIMS	13 -	20 =	0		х	\$50.00		\$	00.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	2 -	3 =	0		X	\$200.00	#	<del></del>	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)									0.00  0.00
No additional fee is required for amendment.  Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of  A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed.  The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0510  Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16.  Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.  Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038.  WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.  Dated: March 7, 2006    Narch 7, 2006									
Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence  CC:									

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Emmanuel Yashchin

Serial No. 10/040,474

Filed January 9, 2002

For SMART MESSENGER

Box AF Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Confirmation No. 2599

Group Art Unit 2155

Examiner Alicia Baturay

BOX "AF"
RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
---EXPEDITE PROCEDURE--EXAMINING GROUP 2155

CONDITIONAL PETITION TO REMOVE PREMATURE INDICATION OF FINALITY OF REJECTION AND AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Sir:

An Appeal Brief was filed in the USPTO in the above-identified application on October 31, 2005. In response, the Examiner re-opened prosecution and entered an office action with completely new grounds of rejection, and has identified the office action as a Final Rejection. The Examiner took the position in the office action that the Final Rejection was necessitated by an amendment filed by the applicant. However, no amendments were filed by the applicant, and the claims at issue in the appeal brief were entered by filing of the notice of appeal and the appeal brief (not entry 7(b) on the advisory action mailed August 12, 2005). As such there is no legally cognizable reason for making the office action a final rejection, and the office action prematurely cuts off the applicant's ability to amend the claims and respond to the new grounds of rejection as a matter of right. Therefore, the office action should be revised to eliminate the notation that the action is a final rejection, or the finality should be otherwise withdrawn.

The indication in the office action that the application is under final rejection is premature and is an error on the part of the USPTO, and therefore, no fees should be required for withdrawing the finality of the rejection. If fees are due, the applicant has hereby made a conditional petition to remove the premature indication of finality, and the Commissioner is authorized to charge attorney's

Docket: 00280715aa

2

deposit account 50-0510 (IBM Yorktown) for the petition fees.

In response to the Office Action mailed January 13, 2006, please amend the above-identified patent application as follows:

Listing of the Claims: begins on page 3

Remarks: begin on page 6